	Case 2:20-cv-00725-KJM-DB Documer	nt 33 Filed 07/15/20 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ORRIN TYLER COLBOURN,	No. 2:20-CV-0725 DB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER AND FINDINGS AND
14	CALIFORNIA COURTS, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a county jail inmate proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking	
18	relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF No. 1). The matter was referred to a United States	
19	Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	Plaintiff has filed a request for a temporary restraining order. (ECF No. 29). A review of	
21	the motion indicates that plaintiff seeks injunctive relief against individuals who are not named as	
22	defendants in this action. (Compare ECF No. 1 at 1, 3 (defendants named in complaint), with	
23	ECF No. 29 at 1-2 (individuals named in temporary injunction motion)). This court is unable to	
24	issue an order against individuals who are not parties to a suit pending before it. See Zenith	
25	Radio Corp. v. Hazeltine Research, Inc., 395 U.S. 100, 112 (1969); Aleknagik Natives Ltd. v.	
26	Andrus, 648 F.2d 496, 506 (9th Cir. 1980) (citing Zenith Radio Corp.).	
27	////	
28	////	
		1

Case 2:20-cv-00725-KJM-DB Document 33 Filed 07/15/20 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a District Court Judge be randomly assigned to this action.

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order, docketed July 9, 2020 (ECF No. 29), be DENIED.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within thirty days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Dated: July 14, 2020

16 DLB:13 DB/ORDERS/ORDERS.PRISONER.CIVIL RIGHTS/colb0725.49a.of&r